
Scheduling Aperiodic Jobs on Uniprocessor Systems

Prof. Dr. Jian-Jia Chen

LS 12, TU Dortmund

27 April 2015

Aperiodic Job (Task) Models (Revisited)

A job J is characterized as follows:

- Arrival time (a_j) or release time (r_j) is the time at which the job becomes ready for execution
- Computation (execution) time (C_j) is the time necessary to the processor for executing the job without interruption (= WCET).
- Absolute deadline (d_j) is the time at which the job should be completed.

Earliest Due Date Algorithm

Theorem

Given a set of n independent jobs that arrive synchronously (release time is 0), any algorithm that executes tasks in order of non-decreasing absolute deadlines is optimal with respect to minimizing the maximum lateness.

Denoted as Earliest Due Date (EDD) Algorithm [Jackson, 1955]

Proof

Let σ be the schedule for J produced by scheduling algorithm A . We can transform A to EDD schedule A' without increasing L_{\max} . Details are in the textbook by Buttazzo [Theorem 3.1].

A Sketched Proof

EDD is optimal for minimizing the maximum lateness: Given a set of n independent tasks, any algorithm that executes the tasks in order of non-decreasing (absolute) deadlines is optimal with respect to minimizing the maximum lateness.

Proof (Buttazzo, 2002):

- Let S be a schedule produced by any algorithm A
- If $S \neq$ the schedule of EDD
 $\rightarrow \exists J_a, J_b : d_a \leq d_b, J_b$ immediately precedes J_a in S .
- Let S' be the schedule obtained by exchanging J_a and J_b

A Sketched Proof (contd.)

Exchanging J_a and J_b cannot increase lateness

Max. lateness for J_a and J_b in S is $L_{\max}(a, b) = f_a - d_a$


Max. lateness for J_a and J_b in S' is $L_{\max}(a, b) = \max(L'_a, L'_b)$

Two possible cases:

① $L'_a \geq L'_b : \rightarrow L'_{\max}(a, b) = f'_a - d_a < f_a - d_a = L_{\max}(a, b)$
since J_a starts earlier in schedule S' .

② $L'_a < L'_b : \rightarrow L'_{\max}(a, b) = f'_b - d_b = f_a - d_b \leq f_a - d_a = L_{\max}(a, b)$ since $f_a = f'_b$ and $d_a \leq D_b$

$\rightarrow L'_{\max}(a, b) \leq L_{\max}(a, b)$



Optimality of EDF

Theorem

Given a set of n independent aperiodic tasks (jobs) with arbitrary arrival times, if the aperiodic task set is feasible on a single processor then any algorithm that executes tasks with earliest deadline (among the set of active tasks) is guaranteed to meet all tasks' deadlines.

- Several proofs of optimality exist: Liu and Layland (1973), Horn (1974), and Dertouzos (1974).
- Similar to Jackson Algorithm proof of optimality, but need to account for preemption. (The steps are almost the same as the previous slide, by accounting for preemptions. I leave this proof out.)

Exact Schedulability Test for EDF

Theorem

A set of aperiodic tasks is schedulable (by EDF) if and only if

$$\forall a_i < d_k, \quad \sum_{\tau_j: a_j \leq a_i \text{ and } d_j \leq d_k} C_j \leq d_k - a_i$$

- Proof for only if (**necessary test**): this simply comes from the fact that the demand must be no more than the available time
- Now: Proof for if (**sufficient test**):
use contrapositive: $A \rightarrow B \Leftrightarrow \bar{B} \rightarrow \bar{A}$

Proof: Sufficient Schedulability Test for EDF

Proof

Proof by contrapositive:

- Suppose that *EDF* schedule does not meet the deadline
- Let τ_k be the first task which misses its absolute deadline d_k
- Let t_0 be the last instant before d_k , at which either the processor is idle or the processor executes a task with absolute deadline larger than d_k
- By *EDF*, t_0 must be an arrival time of a job, called τ_i
- Therefore, t_0 is equal to a_i
- The processor executes only the jobs arriving no earlier than a_i and with absolute deadline less than or equal to d_k
- Therefore, we conclude the proof by showing that

$$\exists a_i < d_k, \quad \sum_{\tau_j: a_i \leq a_j \text{ and } d_j \leq d_k} C_j > d_k - a_i$$


Link this to DBF

Theorem

Define demand bound function $dbf(\tau_i, t)$ as

$$dbf(\tau_i, t) = \max \left\{ 0, \left\lfloor \frac{t + T_i - D_i}{T_i} \right\rfloor \right\} C_i = \max \left\{ 0, \left\lfloor \frac{t - D_i}{T_i} \right\rfloor + 1 \right\} C_i.$$

A task set \mathcal{T} of independent, preemptable, periodic tasks can be feasibly scheduled (under EDF) on one processor if and only if $\forall L \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n dbf(\tau_i, L) \leq L$.



Least Laxity First

Priorities = decreasing function of the laxity
(lower laxity = higher priority); changing priority; preemptive.

