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Hypothetical design flow

Numbers denote sequence of chapters

- 2: Specification
- 3: ES-hardware
- 4: System software (RTOS, middleware, …)
- Design
- 6: Application mapping
- 7: Optimization
- 5: Evaluation & Validation (energy, cost, performance, …)
- 8. Test *

* Could be integrated into loop

Application Knowledge
Motivation for considering specs & models

- Why considering specs and models in detail?

- If something is wrong with the specs, then it will be difficult to get the design right, potentially wasting a lot of time.

- Typically, we work with **models** of the **system under design** (SUD)

☞ What is a **model** anyway?
Models

**Definition:** A *model* is a simplification of another entity, which can be a physical thing or another model. The model contains exactly those characteristics and properties of the modeled entity that are relevant for a given task. A model is minimal with respect to a task if it does not contain any other characteristics than those relevant for the task.

[Jantsch, 2004]:

Which requirements do we have for our models?
Requirements for specification & modeling techniques: Hierarchy

Hierarchy
Humans not capable to understand systems containing more than ~5 objects. Most actual systems require more objects.

Hierarchy (+ abstraction)

- Behavioral hierarchy
  Examples: states, processes, procedures.

- Structural hierarchy
  Examples: processors, racks, printed circuit boards
Requirem. for specification & modeling techniques: Component-based design

- Systems must be designed from components
- Must be “easy” to derive behavior from behavior of subsystems

Work of Sifakis, Thiele, Ernst, …

- Concurrency
- Synchronization and communication
Requirements for specification & modeling techniques (3): Timing

- Timing behavior
  Essential for embedded and cy-phy systems!
  • Additional information (periods, dependences, scenarios, use cases) welcome
  • Also, the speed of the underlying platform must be known
  • Far-reaching consequences for design processes!

“The lack of timing in the core abstraction (of computer science) is a flaw, from the perspective of embedded software” [Lee, 2005]
Requirements for specification & modeling techniques (3): Timing (2)

4 types of timing specs required, according to Burns, 1990:

1. Measure elapsed time
   Check, how much time has elapsed since last call

\[
\text{execute} \quad t
\]

2. Means for delaying processes
Requirements for specification & modeling techniques (3): Timing (3)

3. Possibility to specify timeouts
   Stay in a certain state a maximum time.

4. Methods for specifying deadlines
   Not available or in separate control file.
Specification of ES (4): Support for designing reactive systems

- **State-oriented behavior**
  Required for reactive systems; classical automata insufficient.

- **Event-handling**
  (external or internal events)

- **Exception-oriented behavior**
  Not acceptable to describe exceptions for every state

We will see, how all the arrows labeled $k$ can be replaced by a single one.
Requirements for specification & modeling techniques (5)

- Presence of programming elements
- **Executability** (no algebraic specification)
- Support for the design of large systems (OO)
- Domain-specific support
- Readability
- Portability and flexibility
- Termination
- Support for non-standard I/O devices
- Non-functional properties
- Support for the design of dependable systems
- No obstacles for efficient implementation
- Adequate model of computation

What does it mean “to compute”?
Problems with classical CS theory and von Neumann (thread) computing

Even the core … notion of “computable” is at odds with the requirements of embedded software. In this notion, useful computation terminates, but termination is undecidable.

In embedded software, termination is failure, and yet to get predictable timing, subcomputations must decidably terminate.

What is needed is nearly a reinvention of computer science.


Search for non-thread-based, non-von-Neumann MoCs.
Models of computation

What does it mean, “to compute”? Models of computation define:

- Components and an execution model for computations for each component
- Communication model for exchange of information between components.
**Dependence graph: Definition**

**Def.:** A dependence graph is a directed graph $G=(V,E)$ in which $E \subseteq V \times V$ is a relation. If $(v_1, v_2) \in E$, then $v_1$ is called an immediate predecessor of $v_2$ and $v_2$ is called an immediate successor of $v_1$. Suppose $E^*$ is the transitive closure of $E$. If $(v_1, v_2) \in E^*$, then $v_1$ is called a predecessor of $v_2$ and $v_2$ is called a successor of $v_1$. Nodes could be programs or simple operations.
Dependence graph: Timing information

Dependence graphs may contain additional information, for example:
- Timing information

Arrival time $T_1$ (0,7]  deadline $T_2$ (1,8]  deadline $T_3$ (3,10]
Dependence graph: I/O-information
Dependence graph: Shared resources
Dependence graph: Periodic schedules

- A job is single execution of the dependence graph
- Periodic dependence graphs are infinite
Dependence graph: Hierarchical task graphs

![Dependence graph](image-url)
Communication

- Shared memory

Variables accessible to several components/tasks.

Model mostly restricted to local systems.
Shared memory

```
thread a {
  u = 1; ..
  P(S) //obtain mutex
  if u<5 {u = u + 1; ..}
  // critical section
  V(S) //release mutex
}
```

```
thread b {
  ..
  P(S) //obtain mutex
  u = 5
  // critical section
  V(S) //release mutex
}
```

- Unexpected u=6 possible if P(S) and V(S) is not used (double context switch before execution of \{u = u+1\})
- S: semaphore
- P(S) grants up to \(n\) concurrent accesses to resource
- \(n=1\) in this case (mutex/lock)
- V(S) increases number of allowed accesses to resource
- Thread-based (imperative) model should be supported by mutual exclusion for critical sections
Non-blocking/asynchronous message passing

Sender does not have to wait until message has arrived;

Potential problem: buffer overflow
Blocking/synchronous message passing - *rendez-vous*

Sender will wait until receiver has received message

No buffer overflow, but reduced performance.
Organization of computations within the components (1)

- Finite state machines
Organization of computations within the components (2)

- Discrete event model

```
queue
5 10 13 15 19
time
action
a:=5  b:=7  c:=8  a:=6  a:=9
```

- Von Neumann model

Sequential execution, program memory etc.
Organization of computations within the components (3)

- Differential equations

\[ \frac{\partial^2 x}{\partial t^2} = b \]

- Data flow
  (models the flow of data in a distributed system)

- Petri nets
  (models synchronization in a distributed system)
## Models of computation considered in this course

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Communication/local computations</th>
<th>Shared memory</th>
<th>Message passing</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Undefined components</td>
<td>Plain text, use cases</td>
<td>(Message) sequence charts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communicating finite state machines</td>
<td>StateCharts</td>
<td>SDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data flow</td>
<td>Scoreboarding + Tomasulo Algorithm (Comp.Archict.)</td>
<td>Kahn networks, SDF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petri nets</td>
<td>C/E nets, P/T nets, …</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discrete event (DE) model</td>
<td>VHDL*, Verilog*, SystemC*, …</td>
<td>Only experimental systems, e.g. distributed DE in Ptolemy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Von Neumann model</td>
<td>C, C++, Java</td>
<td>C, C++, Java with libraries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Classification based on implementation with centralized data structures
Summary

Requirements for specification & modeling

- Hierarchy
- ...
- Appropriate model of computation

Models of computation =

- Dependence graphs
- models for communication
  - Shared memory
  - Message passing
- models of components
  - finite state machines (FSMs)
  - discrete event systems, ....