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Abstract—In the real-time systems community, the performance of newly designed scheduling algorithms or schedulability tests is typically examined either by theoretical methods, like dominance relations or speedup factors, or using empirical evaluations. Such empirical methods often evaluate the acceptance ratio of the new algorithm/test compared to other algorithms/tests based on synthesized task sets. However, it is often difficult to perform such a comparison since not all implementations are publicly available, use a different programming language, a different task generator, etc. Hence, for self-suspending tasks, we provide an easy to use evaluation framework with a pre-implemented task generator, some pre-implemented schedulability tests, and an integrated plotting tool. The framework is written in Python and can be extended by including additional schedulability tests.

I. INTRODUCTION

Self-suspension behaviour has analysed in the real-time systems community as it results from multiple application scenarios like 1) cloud offloading in the Internet of Things era, 2) multiprocessor resource synchronization, 3) interactions with external devices, e.g., GPUs, accelerators, and I/O devices, etc. In general, self-suspension behaviour occurs when a task leaves the processor without being either finished or preempted by a higher-priority task. Three models for such self-suspension behaviour have been examined:

1) The segmented model, where the self-suspension behaviour is described by a precise pattern of interleaving execution segments and suspension intervals.
2) The dynamic model, where the self-suspension behaviour is described by two upper bounds on the total worst-case execution time (WCET) and the total suspension time. It assumes that a task can suspend itself an infinity amount of times as long as the upper bound on the total suspension time is respected.
3) The hybrid models [4], that provide different tradeoffs between the overly flexible dynamic model and the overly restrictive segmented model, assuming different levels of information in addition to the bounds on WCET and suspension time, i.e., at least the number of suspensions.

A review for the state-of-the-art of self-suspension can be found in [1]. Multiple scheduling algorithms and related schedulability tests have been proposed. Our goal is to provide an easy to use framework that allows to compare these schedulability tests based on synthesized task sets.

II. THE FRAMEWORK

The provided Python framework evaluates scheduling algorithms and the related schedulability tests based on randomly generated implicit-deadline task sets. The evaluation setup can be configured using multiple parameters like the number of tasks, the utilization range that is considered, the relative length of the suspension interval, the number of sets per configuration, etc. The GUI of our framework is shown in Figure 1. Furthermore, it is also possible to configure the evaluation setup of the framework using a config file, running it based on a command line. The results of the tests are stored in numpy files and can also directly be printed into pdfs. The framework also supports to plot previously collected data.

The framework already includes schedulability tests for multiple algorithms, e.g., SEIFDA [5], SCAIR [3], PASS [2]. We plan to include additional schedulability tests from the literature, implement additional functionalities, and keep the framework up to date. This is supported by the underlying Python architecture, since Python allows to include, for instance, C or C++ code as well as MILP solvers.
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