

Real-Time Systems (SS 2014)

Exercise 4: Resource Access Protocols and RT PL/OS

Discussion Date: 18, June, 2014

Exercise 4.1

- (a) What is priority inversion? Is it possible to completely avoid priority inversion in fixed-priority scheduling? If yes, what is the drawback of such schemes? If no, explain your arguments.
- (b) Explain why Priority Ceiling Protocol (PCP) is deadlock free.
- (c) Mr. Smart wants to use PCP in his system which uses dynamic priority scheduling, i.e., EDF (earliest-deadline-first scheduling). Is it possible? What would be the problem(s) that he may face?
- (d) What are the advantages to use Real-Time Programming Languages and Real-Time Operating Systems to design real-time systems, respectively?
- (e) Explain the concepts behind *Delay* and *Delay until* in Ada. Check the source code of FreeRTOS. What are the corresponding functions in FreeRTOS?
- (f) Explain why most commercial Real-Time Operating Systems use only a fixed-length table to store the (pointers of) task control blocks (TCB). How does the scheduler in FreeRTOS work?
- (g) Explain how you will implement the PCP and Priority Inheritance Protocol (PIP) in a Real-Time Operating System.

Exercise 4.2

Draw the current priority ceiling $\Pi'(t)$ of the system and the current priority of the jobs in the two examples of PCP (i.e., x axis with respect to time and y axis with respect to the priority levels) given in the lecture (i.e., Pages 25 and 26 in 06-Resource.pdf).

Exercise 4.3

Consider the following case with four sporadic tasks and 3 semaphores, where $S_j(\tau_i)$ is the worst-case execution time of a critical section guarded by semaphore “ S_j ” in task τ_i and $S_j(\tau_i)$ is 0 when task τ_i does not need semaphore S_j .

	$S_1()$	$S_2()$	$S_3()$		τ_1	τ_2	τ_3	τ_4
τ_1	1	0	0	C_i	2	10	8	6
τ_2	0	0	9	T_i	10	24	48	50
τ_3	8	7	0	D_i	10	24	48	50
τ_4	6	5	4					

Suppose that the critical sections are not nested. Note that the worst-case execution time C_i of a task τ_i is derived by assuming that the critical sections are always granted without any blocking.

- (a) Can RM+PIP feasibly schedule the above task set?
- (b) Can RM+PCP feasibly schedule the above task set?

Exercise 4.4

Suppose that the following schedulability test is an exact test for PCP: A system \mathcal{T} of periodic, preemptable tasks with constrained dealines is schedulable on one processor by a fixed-priority scheduling algorithm if

$$\forall \tau_i \in \mathcal{T} \exists t \text{ with } 0 < t \leq D_i \text{ and } W_i(t) \leq t$$

holds, where $W_i(t)$ of the task τ_i is defined as follows:

$$W_i(t) = B_i + C_i + \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left\lceil \frac{t}{T_j} \right\rceil C_j.$$

The worst-case blocking time B_i for task τ_i is at most

$$\max_{j>i,R} \{C_{j,R} | \Pi(R) \leq i\},$$

where $C_{j,R}$ is the worst-case (consecutively) execution time when resource R is required for executing a job of task τ_j . Please explain that rate-monotonic scheduling is an optimal fixed-priority scheduling policy under the above schedulability analysis.